

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,795	07/09/2003	Andrew J. Dannenberg	CRF D-2756 NB	8535
23364 BACON & TE	7590 HOMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS	SLANE	ROBERTS, LEZAH		
FOURTH FLO	OOR A, VA 22314-1176	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/614,795		DANNENBERG ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LEZAH W. ROBERTS	1612		

	LEZAH W. ROBERTS	1612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this cation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time dds:					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the saturoup period for reply experie later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TI MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPETP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed was the filed with the filed was t	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	A Government of Mark of Albert Co.		DTOL OOA)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 						
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submitted iii a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	it canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>6 and 10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-9 and 11.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Frederick Krass/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	/Lezah W Roberts/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: